



ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7
Software & System Engineering
Secretariat: CANADA (SCC)

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 N2710R 2002-09-23, 10-19

Document Type Letter Ballot Summary
Title WG18 position paper on numbering for ISO 9000-3
Source JTC1/SC7 Secretariat
Project
Status WG18 position paper
References N2639R
Action ID Action
Due Date 2002-09-17
Mailing Date 2002-09-23, Revised 10-19
Distribution SC7_AG; P, O & L Members
Medium Acrobat
No. of Pages 2
Note ‘*’ besides a country name indicates that the country should re-send to the secretary a copy of its record of its ballot

TABLE OF VOTING AND COMMENTS RECEIVED

“P” members	Approve	Disapprove	Abstain	Comments	Not voting
Australia	X				
Belgium					X
Brazil					X
Canada	X				
China	X			X	
Czech Republic					X
Denmark			X		
Finland					X
France			X		
Germany	X			X	
Hungary					X
Ireland					X
Israel	X				
Italy	X				
Japan	X			X	
Korea	X				
Netherlands					X
Portugal					X
Romania	X				
Singapore					X
South Africa					X
Spain					X
Sweden			X	X	
Switzerland	X				
UK	X				
Ukraine	X				
USA	X				
Thailand					X
TOTAL	13	0	3	4	12

LETTER BALLOT SUMMARY

“O” and “L” members commenting:

Country / Liaison				Comments	

Country	Comments
Germany	<p>Make as little change as possible, i.e. ISO/IEC 9000-3 We would not have the number 29001 or 29003. If the above options cannot work, then dual numbering is a must.</p>
Sweden	<p>Sweden abstain since we see difficulties to cast a vote on this paper. It contains several alternatives, which make it hard to understand what we are voting on.</p> <p>However, Sweden support the idea to use the 29000 series as a <i>Process series</i>, where the new ISO/IEC 9000-3 will be numbered, and, in parallel, the 25000 series as a <i>Product series</i>, where e.g. the SQuare standards will be numbered.</p> <p>We recall earlier comments on CD 9000-3 (SC 7 N 2533). Our opinion is that a revised ISO/IEC 9000-3 shall provide a short and strict description on how ISO 9001 shall be applied for software, in order to be understandable for all. It shall not provide a comprehensive guidance for system developers, system administrators or management organisations. Such guidance should be provided by the harmonized standards ISO/IEC 15288 and ISO/IEC 12207.</p> <p>Important to emphasize is, that this harmonization shall not concentrate only on traditional systems engineering, i.e. thinking adapted for technical systems, but take into consideration the fact, that todays systems include much more. Standards should be easy to use and describe how they can be adapted and applied for different needs.</p>
China	<p>China is in favour of SC07 N2639R with comments. Comments is as follows: Use a new number, i.e. ISO/IEC xxxxx.</p>
Japan	<p>We support to continue to use 9000-3 with ISO/IEC prefix..</p>