



ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7
Software & System Engineering
Secretariat: CANADA (SCC)

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 N2639R

2002-06-17

Doc. Type	Letter Ballot
Title	WG18 position paper on numbering for ISO 9000-3
Source	JTC1/SC7 WG18 Convener
Project	ISO 9000-3
Status	
References	
Action ID	ACT
Due Date	2002-09-17
Mailing Date	2002-06-17
Distribution	SC7_AG; P, O & L Members
Medium	PDF
No. Of Pages	1
Note	<p>As per SC7 Busan Plenary Resolution 666: JTC1/SC7 instructs its Secretariat to circulate for a 30-Day letter ballot WG18 position paper on numbering for ISO 9000-3.</p> <p>If the proposal is accepted by SC7, JTC1/SC7 instructs its Secretariat to take whatever actions is required with ISO TC 176, JTC 1 and ISO to implement the recommendations.</p>

Address reply to: ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 Secretariat
École de technologie supérieure – Département de génie électrique
1100 Notre Dame Ouest, Montréal, Québec Canada H3C 1K3
secretariat@jtc1-sc7.org

www.jtc1-sc7.org

LETTER BALLOT

Document SC7 N2639

Title: WG18 position paper on numbering for ISO 9000-3

“P” National Body must return their comments to the JTC 1/SC 7 Secretariat by email at Secretariat@jtc1-sc7.org no later than **2002-09-17**.

* We approve document N2639 as presented

OR

* We approve document N2639 with the attached comments

OR

* We disapprove document N2639 for the attached technical reasons

OR

* We abstain from voting (P-members have an obligation to vote)

National Body: _____

Date: _____

Signature: _____

NOTE: do NOT submit this form when voting by email. Simply type your vote (with comments where applicable) into an email message and send to Secretariat@jtc1-sc7.org.

WG18 Position Paper: Numbering for the successor to ISO 9000-3:1997 (providing guidance to ISO 9001:2000)

A number of views have been expressed about the update project within ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 for the Guidance for software to ISO90001:2000 with respect to the numbering of the updated standard. Work has progressed to CD2 stage and the expectation within WG18 is that the standard will be ready for release early in 2003. However, there has been much debate about the numbering of the standard. This paper presents known arguments for and against and the SC7/WG18 position for a way forward.

For ISO/IEC 9000-3

1. 9000-3 has been used as guidance to ISO 9001 for many years and has an established market/user community
2. 9000-3 is referenced in the Bibliography of ISO9001 albeit as :1997 version, so purchasers of 9001 would logically request 9000-3 for guidance
3. Existing users of 9000-3 will be confused by any number change
4. Logically, updates to an existing document should keep the same number
5. Representatives of TC176 have been involved in the project, so the standard represents a combined effort between TC176 and JTC1/SC7.

Against ISO/IEC 9000

1. The use of 9000-3 is inconsistent with the new numbering of the 9000 series (i.e., there is now no 9000-1 or -2)
2. The market /users could be confused over the consistent pair marketing of 9001/9004:2000
3. The number series 9000 has been used exclusively by TC176
4. Other standards in some national bodies have survived renumbering without User reaction.

Options

1. Continue to use 9000-3 with ISO/IEC prefix
2. Use a new number (ISO/IEC 29001 has been reserved)
3. Dual numbering (if this is possible) as "ISO/IEC 29001 (ISO/IEC 9000-3:2003)"

Recommendation (in order of preference)

- If ISO/IEC 9000-3 can be used this represents the best solution for the market/ existing users (and majority of WG18 committee prefer this)
- If not some form of dual numbering would be preferred rather than a new number, i.e., ISO/IEC XXXXX (Formerly ISO 9000-3)
- If a new number then the reserved ISO/IEC 29001 or 29003 would be preferred.